IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF TEXAS TYLER DIVISION

BEDROCK COMPUTER	§	
TECHNOLOGIES LLC,	§	
	§	
Plaintiff,	§	
	§	CASE NO. 6:09-CV-269-LED
v.	§	
	§	
GOOGLE INC.,	§	JURY TRIAL DEMANDED
	§	
Defendant.	§	

VERDICT FORM

In answering these questions, you are to follow all of the instructions I have given you in the Court's Charge.

1. Did Bedrock prove by a preponderance of the evidence that Google infringed the following claims of the '120 patent?

Answer "Yes" or "No" for each Claim.

Claims

Claim 1 LS

Claim 2 LS

If you answered "Yes" on any Claim, answer the next question. If not, skip it.

Case 6:09-cv-00269-LED -JDL Document 746 Filed 04/15/11 Page 2 of 2 PageID #: 22553

2.	Did Google prove by a preponderance of the evidence that any of the listed claims of the '120 patent are invalid?
	If you find the Claim invalid, answer "Yes," otherwise, answer "No."
	Claim 1
	If you have found any claim infringed and valid, answer question 3; otherwise, do not answer question 3.
3.	What sum of money, if paid now in cash, do you find from a preponderance of the evidence would fairly and reasonably compensate Bedrock for Google's infringement of the '120 patent through the time of trial?
	Answer in dollars and cents.
	\$_5,000,000.00XX
	Signed this <u>15th</u> day of April, 2011.
	JURY FOREPERSON